

## A 46-Item Checklist for the Statistical Evaluation of Medical Research Manuscripts

Alexander M. Strasak<sup>1</sup>, Karl-Peter Pfeiffer<sup>1</sup>, Gerhard Marinell<sup>2</sup>, Georg Goebel<sup>1</sup>, and Hanno Ulmer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Medical Statistics, Informatics and Health Economics, Innsbruck Medical University, Austria; [alexander.strasak@i-med.ac.at](mailto:alexander.strasak@i-med.ac.at), [karl-peter.pfeiffer@i-med.ac.at](mailto:karl-peter.pfeiffer@i-med.ac.at), [georg.goebel@i-med.ac.at](mailto:georg.goebel@i-med.ac.at), [hanno.ulmer@i-med.ac.at](mailto:hanno.ulmer@i-med.ac.at)

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Statistics, Faculty of Economics and Statistics, University of Innsbruck, Austria; [gerhard.marinell@uibk.ac.at](mailto:gerhard.marinell@uibk.ac.at)

**Abstract:** There is widespread evidence of the extensive use of statistical methods in modern medical research. Just the same, application standards are known to be generally low and a growing body of literature points to persistent statistical errors in most medical journals (Strasak et al. 2007a). The "misuse" of statistics in medical research has therefore been widely discussed, and it has been pointed out that it is both unethical and can have serious clinical consequences (Altman 1981, 2000; Strasak et al. 2007b). In order to further enhance statistical quality in medical research, we present a standardized, comprehensive 46-item checklist for the statistical evaluation of medical research manuscripts, developed on the basis of manifold literature related to the topic. The checklist may either be used just for the broad and in-depth statistical evaluation of submitted/published journal contributions (Strasak et al. 2007a) or as a useful guideline when planning, conducting and presenting medical research (Strasak et al. 2007b). Although our assessment tool clearly cannot cover aspects of all statistical methods incorporated in modern medical research, it is to our knowledge one of the most comprehensive lists presented yet. While predominately focusing on the issue of statistical significance testing, the 46-item checklist includes multifaceted statistical aspects of study design, statistical analysis, documentation of applied statistical methods, as well as presentation and interpretation of study findings. Consideration of issues included in our checklist, when planning, conducting and preparing medical research manuscripts, should help to further enhance statistical quality in medical journals. In addition, however, statisticians should be involved early in study design, as mistakes at this point can have major repercussions, negatively affecting all subsequent stages of medical research.

### References:

- ALTMAN D.G. (1981): Statistics and Ethics in Medical Research. Improving the Quality of Statistics in Medical Journals. *British Medical Journal*, 282, 44-7.
- ALTMAN D.G. (2000): Statistics in Medical Journals: Some Recent Trends. *Statistics in Medicine*, 19, 3275-89.
- STRASAK A., ZAMAN Q., MARINELL G., PFEIFFER K.P., ULMER H. (2007a): The Use of Statistics in Medical Research: A Comparison of The New

# Online

England Journal of Medicine and Nature Medicine. *American Statistician*, 61, 47-55.

STRASAK A., ZAMAN Q., PFEIFFER K.P., GOEBEL G., ULMER H. (2007b): Statistical Errors in Medical Research - A Review of common Pitfalls. *Swiss Medical Weekly*, 137, 44-9.

**Session:**

S28: Clinical studies (II) : Wednesday, 12/03/2008, 9:10am - 10:30am